

# High-water marks

Michi

Given a sequence of values  $a_k$   $_{k=1}^n$ , the high-water marks are the values at which the running maximum increases. For example, given a sequence  $[3, 5, 7, 8, 8, 5, 7, 9, 2, 5]$  with running maxima  $[3, 5, 7, 8, 8, 8, 9, 9, 9]$ , the high-water marks are  $[3, 5, 7, 8, 9]$ , which occur at  $k = (1, 2, 3, 4, 8)$ . For every sequence  $a_k$  there is a number of high-water marks  $N_{a_k}$ .

If now we consider a set  $\sigma$  of all permutations of  $n$  numbers  $(1, \dots, n)$  what is the analytical expression for the distribution of the number of high-water marks ( $N_{a \in \sigma}$ )? For example, if  $n = 3$ , the distribution vector is  $(\frac{2}{3!}, \frac{3}{3!}, \frac{1}{3!})$ .

To solve this problem consider the cycle representation of a permutation (including the trivial one-element cycles). We have a bijection of the symmetric group with itself that maps between numbers of cycles and numbers of high-water marks. Thus the distribution of the number of high-water marks is the distribution of the number of cycles. This is given by the unsigned Stirling numbers of the first kind, which has a distribution

$$P_s(k; n) = \frac{|s(n; k)|}{n!}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} s(n; 1) = (n-1)! \\ s(n; n-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\ s(n; n) = 1 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

## Proof of the bijection

Let's prove the bijection of the symmetric group with itself that maps between numbers of cycles and numbers of high-water marks.

- Let  $r(n, i)$  be the number of permutations of  $n$  elements with running maximum equal to  $i$ .
- Let  $c(n, i)$  be the number of permutations of  $n$  elements whose cycle type has  $i$  parts.

We make two claims for all  $n$  and  $i \leq n$ :

$$\begin{cases} r(n, i) = r(n-1, i-1) + r(n-1, i)(n-1) \\ c(n, i) = c(n-1, i-1) + c(n-1, i)(n-1) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Since we know that  $r(3, i) = c(3, i)$  for all  $i \leq 3$  and  $r(n, 1) = c(n, 1) = (n-1)!$ , it follows from the two claims that  $r(n, i) = c(n, i)$  holds for all  $n$  and all  $i \leq n$ .

We prove the first claim. Consider a permutation  $x$  of the elements  $1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and write  $x$  as a list. We can insert a new element  $0$  into  $x$  to obtain a permutation  $y$  of the elements  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Going over all  $x$  and inserting  $0$  at each of the  $n$  possible positions gives all permutations of  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  exactly once. For example, let  $n = 3$ . Let  $x = 12$ . Then the possible  $y$ 's we can obtain are  $y = 012$ ,  $y = 102$ ,  $y = 120$ . Let  $x = 21$ . Then the possible  $y$ 's we can obtain are  $y = 021$ ,  $y = 201$ ,  $y = 210$ . Each  $y$  appears once and together they give all permutations of  $3$  elements. Suppose  $y$  has running maximum  $i$ . Then there are two options. Either  $x$  has running maximum  $i-1$  and  $0$  was inserted at the first index. Or  $x$  has running maximum  $i$ , and  $0$  was inserted not at the first index. There are  $r(n-1, i-1)$  possible  $x$  for the first

option. There are  $r(n-1, i)$  possible  $x$  and  $(n-1)$  possible locations for 0 for the second option. Therefore,  $r(n, i) = r(n-1, i-1) + r(n-1, i)(n-1)$ .

We prove the second claim. Consider a permutation  $x$  of the elements  $1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and write  $x$  as a product of disjoint cycles. We can insert 0 in one of the existing cycles of  $x$  or as a new cycle to obtain a permutation  $y$  of  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Going over all  $x$  and inserting 0 in every possible way gives all permutations of  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  exactly once. For example, let  $n = 3$ . Let  $x = (1)(2)$ . Then the possible  $y$ 's we can obtain are  $y = (0)(1)(2)$ ,  $y = (01)(2)$ ,  $y = (1)(02)$ . Let  $x = (12)$ . Then the possible  $y$ 's we can obtain are  $y = (0)(12)$ ,  $y = (012)$ ,  $y = (102)$ . Each  $y$  appears once and together they give all permutations of 3 elements. Suppose  $y$  has a cycle type with  $i$  parts. Then there are two options. Either  $x$  has a cycle type with  $i-1$  parts and the new cycle (0) was inserted. Or  $x$  has a cycle type with  $i$  parts and 0 was inserted in one of the existing cycles. There are  $c(n-1, i-1)$  possible  $x$  for the first option. There are  $c(n-1, i)$  possible  $x$  and  $(n-1)$  possible possible ways to insert 0 in the existing cycles for the second option. Therefore,  $c(n, i) = c(n-1, i-1) + c(n-1, i)(n-1)$ .